

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

Case Reference: 324113

margaret Ruane

Rathmorrissy

athenry

Galway

H65 P861

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála

64 Marlborough Street

Dublin 1

D01 V902

Date: 18 April 2026

Re: Observation to the proposed development of open-cycle gas turbine (OCGT) and generator with ancillary equipment.

Location: Pollnagroagh and Rathmorrissy (Townlands), Athenry, Co. Galway

Applicant: Bord Gáis Energy Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

I believe the peaker plant to be a health hazard to all people in the local area. In the event of an accident the fire stations and emergency services will not be able to cope. Also they do not have a second entrance/exit. I also feel that it is not in the interest of the people of Ireland in supplying clean energy for Ireland. The use of diesel is wrong.

I live 650 meters from the site and have children with health issues. This will exacerbate their condition.

Finally, This will interfere with the nature in the area and pollute the farm land.

Risk of Groundwater Contamination from Fuel Storage and Handling

I am concerned about the risks of soil and groundwater contamination from this proposed peaker plant. The development would involve the storage and handling of fuels such as diesel, along with lubricating oils and other chemicals, all of which could pose a risk to the surrounding environment. There is a real possibility that these substances could leak, spill, or enter the ground through surface runoff over the long lifetime of the facility, potentially up to 2050, and even small but repeated incidents could lead to a gradual build-up of

pollution in soil and groundwater.

This is particularly worrying because once groundwater becomes contaminated, it is extremely difficult and costly to remediate, and the impacts can persist for decades. This raises serious concerns about the long-term protection of local water resources and the surrounding environment. There remains uncertainty about whether these risks have been adequately managed, raising substantial worries that the project might cause permanent damage to water quality. This would violate the obligations under EU Directive 2000/60/EC, which mandates the protection of water bodies and prohibits their deterioration.

Long-Term Accumulation of Pollutants and Chemical Residues

I am particularly concerned about the risk of pollution to soil and groundwater from this proposed development. The inclusion of diesel storage tanks, hardstanding areas, drainage systems, and other infrastructure increases the likelihood that pollutants could gradually enter the ground over time, potentially up to 2050. Substances such as hydrocarbons from diesel and gas, along with other chemical residues, may build up slowly, particularly where there are repeated small leaks, routine operational losses, or occasional spills, with impacts accumulating over time.

What is especially worrying is that this type of pollution may not be immediately visible but could result in long-term damage to groundwater quality and soil health. This has implications not only for environmental protection but also for local agriculture, which depends on clean soil and water. Overall, there is significant concern that these long-term and cumulative risks have not been fully addressed and could have lasting consequences for the local environment and livelihoods.

Dependence on Groundwater for Domestic and Agricultural Use

I am concerned about the potential risk to groundwater from this proposed development. The area depends heavily on clean groundwater for essential needs, including drinking water, farming, and livestock, making it a vital resource for the community. The introduction of an industrial facility involving the storage and handling of fuels creates an ongoing risk to this resource, and any contamination, even if accidental, could have serious and long-lasting consequences for water quality, livestock health, and agricultural productivity.

What is particularly worrying is that once groundwater becomes contaminated, the damage can be extremely difficult—if not impossible—to reverse. This raises serious concerns about whether this type of development is appropriate for this location. To sum up, significant worries persist that the dangers to groundwater have not been fully assessed, and any consequences could be permanent.

Unsuitability of Site Due to Environmental Sensitivity

I do not believe this site is suitable for this type of development. The area is environmentally sensitive, with a strong reliance on clean groundwater and agricultural land, both of which are essential to the local community. Introducing a development involving diesel storage and industrial processes, potentially operating until at least 2050, brings significant long-term risks to water quality, soil health, and surrounding land uses.

These effects are not temporary or easily controlled, and once harm is done, it can be extremely challenging—sometimes even impossible—to completely fix. Because of uncertainty and the risk of permanent environmental damage, it's best to proceed with caution. In summary, there are significant concerns about whether this development is suitable for this area.

Organic Farming

As a local organic farmer, I am very concerned about the potential impact this proposed peaker plant could have on my farm and others in the area. Organic farming is governed by strict European standards and

requires high environmental quality, including keeping soil, crops, and water free from contamination. Airborne pollutants from the plant, particularly those linked to diesel such as nitrogen oxides and fine particulate matter, could settle onto land and crops, posing a risk to organic certification even at low levels.

There are also concerns about contamination through water and soil pathways, including runoff or accidental spills from fuel storage. Organic farming relies on healthy soil, clean water, and a balanced ecosystem, and any disruption to these could undermine the integrity of production. The consequences of losing organic certification would be severe, including loss of premium markets, significant financial impacts, and a re-conversion period of up to two years. Overall, there is serious concern that this development poses a disproportionate and inadequately assessed risk to organic farming and sustainable livelihoods in the area.

Vulnerability to Diesel-Related Air Pollution

As a parent living in the area, I am particularly concerned about the potential impact of this proposed development on children's health. Children are particularly susceptible to the effects of air pollution because of their developing respiratory systems, elevated respiration rates, and greater exposure to outdoor environments. Although peaker plants do not function on a continuous basis, they can produce significantly elevated levels of output during initial start-up phases or times of peak energy demand. This may lead to brief yet significant emissions of pollutants, particularly when diesel fuel is utilised. These emissions contain fine particles and nitrogen oxides that can penetrate deep into the lungs, which may affect lung development and increase the risk of respiratory conditions such as asthma. Overall, this raises serious concerns about the health and wellbeing of children and whether these risks have been fully considered.

Inadequate Assessment of Traffic Impacts

The placement of a site entrance at this hazardous location on the L3103 raises serious safety concerns. The road is already constrained by its narrow width, the absence of a hard shoulder, and extremely poor visibility due to blind dips and sharp corners, yet the Environmental Impact Assessment does not appear to fully address the safety implications of introducing an access point at this location. There are also concerns that the cumulative impact of additional traffic has not been properly assessed, including construction traffic, ongoing operational traffic, and fuel deliveries, and the interaction between heavy goods vehicles and existing road users—such as local traffic, school-related movements, and agricultural machinery—has not been examined in sufficient detail. Overall, the lack of a thorough and robust traffic safety assessment creates significant uncertainty as to whether the local road network can safely accommodate this development.

Major Accident Hazard and Regulatory Concerns

I am concerned about the potential for major accidents associated with this proposed development. A gas-fired peaker plant, combined with on-site fuel storage, introduces real risks, including fire, explosion, and the release of fuel. According to the requirements of the Seveso III Directive, any development involving hazardous substances must present clear evidence that relevant risks have been appropriately identified, assessed, and minimized. In this instance, it appears that full compliance may not have been achieved. Locally, there is concern regarding whether the probability and impact of serious incidents have been comprehensively evaluated or clearly demonstrated, which raises ongoing questions about the adequacy of risk management and the safety of nearby residents.

Emergency Response and Adequacy of Assessment

There are serious concerns about the lack of clear information on emergency response planning for this proposed development, including how a major incident would be managed, evacuation procedures, coordination with local emergency services, and the overall effectiveness of any response. This is particularly

concerning in a rural area where the road network is already limited and constrained, which could make access and evacuation more difficult in an emergency and increase risks to nearby residents. When considered alongside the absence of detailed worst-case scenario analysis, it is not clear that risks to human health and safety have been reduced to an acceptable level, creating significant concern about the preparedness of the development to respond to a major incident.

Conflict with National and EU Climate Targets

There are serious concerns regarding Ireland's legally binding obligations to reduce greenhouse gas emissions under the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021, as well as wider EU climate frameworks. The continued development of gas-fired generation, including peaker plants, will result in additional carbon dioxide emissions over the lifetime of the project, raising questions about alignment with national carbon budgets and emissions reduction targets. In this context, there is concern that the proposal may undermine the State's ability to meet its climate commitments and transition to a low-carbon energy system.

Ineffective Engagement and Limited Opportunity to Participate

There are concerns that, while documentation has been made available, the approach to community engagement has not ensured meaningful or effective participation. Many residents were not directly informed of the development, and engagement appears to have relied on passive methods rather than proactive outreach. Opportunities to engage were limited and may not have reached all affected individuals, particularly those without the time, resources, or technical background to interpret the material. Effective consultation requires early, inclusive, and accessible engagement with the community, and it does not appear that this standard has been achieved in this case.

Diesel Use Not Fully Assessed or Limited

Diesel is used beyond emergencies, including routine tests and operations. This leads to extra emissions, odours, and environmental risks not fully covered by the Environmental Impact Assessment. The frequency and impact of diesel use are unclear, making the total environmental effect uncertain.

Conclusion

This proposal presents important concerns regarding people, public health, agriculture, and the surrounding environment. Because the documentation is complex and community engagement has been limited, many individuals have found it challenging to take part in the decision-making process. Communities should not face uncertain or potentially substantial environmental risks. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that planning permission be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

M Ruane

Name: margaret Ruane

Date: 18 April 2026